United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

August 29, 2008

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**TENTH CIRCUIT** 

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

TRACY SPENCER,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

KEVIN MILYARD, and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

Respondent-Appellee.

No. 08-1172 (D.C. No. 06-cv-01892) (D. Colo.)

**ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**\*

Before O'BRIEN, EBEL, and GORSUCH, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner-Appellant Tracy Spencer requests a certificate of appealability

("COA"), see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), that would enable him to appeal the district

court's decision denying him habeas relief, see 28 U.S.C. § 2254, from his

conviction for first-degree murder.

Spencer pled guilty to one count of first-degree murder and one count of second-degree murder for the deaths of two women, in exchange for withdrawal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> This order is not binding precedent except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

of the death penalty. Spencer was sentenced to life imprisonment on the firstdegree murder count and twenty-four years in prison on the second-degree murder count, the sentences to be served consecutively. In this action, Spencer only seeks habeas relief from the sentence of life imprisonment.

In his habeas petition, Spencer raises two issues with respect to his conviction: (1) whether he received ineffective assistance of counsel; and (2) whether the loss or destruction of trial counsel's case file, after his trial and appeal, violated his due process rights.

Spencer will be entitled to a COA if he can make "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). He can make such a showing by establishing that "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u>, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000) (quotations omitted).

For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, as adopted by the district court, we conclude that Spencer has failed to make an adequate showing of the denial of a constitutional right in this case. We, therefore, DENY Spencer's motion for a COA and DISMISS this appeal. Additionally, Spencer's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is DENIED.

## ENTERED FOR THE COURT

David M. Ebel Circuit Judge