FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

July 30, 2009

TENTH CIRCUIT

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

No. 09-4010 (D. Utah) (D.Ct. No. 2:08-CR-00594-JTG-1)

COREY MICHAEL GEARHART,

Defendant - Appellant.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before HARTZ, EBEL, and O'BRIEN, Circuit Judges.

The parties have waived oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(f); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). We will decide this case on the briefs.

Corey Michael Gearhart pled guilty to possession of child pornography under 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(5)(B). The district court imposed a 97 month sentence. Gearhart appeals from that sentencing, arguing the court: 1) abused its discretion by sentencing as though the guidelines were mandatory and 2)

^{*} This order and judgment is not binding precedent. 10th Cir. R. 32.1(A). Citation to orders and judgments is not prohibited. Fed. R. App. 32.1. But it is discouraged, except when related to law of the case, issue preclusion or claim preclusion. Any citation to an order and judgment must be accompanied by an appropriate parenthetical notation -- (unpublished). 10th Cir. R. 32.1(A).

committed plain error in treating the guidelines as though they were mandatory.

The arguments are based upon one statement made by the judge:

[A]fter due consideration, I'm going to impose a sentence at the -the Guideline sentence. I think the Guideline -- advisory Guidelines
do have application here, and will sentence as though the Guidelines
were mandatory in the sense that they seem to have a lot of sense and
would be applicable So I'm going to impose a sentence at the
low end of the Guideline range, 97 months

(R. Vol. II at 40.) That statement, taken without contextual reference, does not carry the load Gearhart heaps on it. Taken in context, it refutes his arguments.

We have reviewed the transcript of the sentencing hearing. It is clear the judge recognized the advisory nature of the guidelines and sentenced accordingly. We need not decide whether our review is for plain error as there was no error.

AFFIRMED.

Appellee's Stipulation to Correct the Record is **NOTED**.

Entered by the Court:

Terrence L. O'Brien
United States Circuit Judge