

FILED
United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

September 11, 2026

Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court

ADAM STREGE, Nuclear Missiel Launch
God,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COLORADO COURTS; (SCA) STATE
COURT ADMINISTRATOR; (SCA)
STEVEN VASCONCELLO, Court
Administrator; JANEL BRAVO, Court
ADA Coordinator; LETTERSTREAM,
INC; (CCJD) COLORADO
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
DISCIPLINE; (CCJD) ANNE
MANGIARDI, in her individual and
official capacities; (OARC) OFFICE
ATTORNEY REGULATION COUNSEL;
(OARC) JESSICA YATES, in her
individual and official capacities; ALL
COLORADO CONGRESSMAN, in their
individual and official Ccapaciies; (DORA)
COLORADO DEPARTMENT
REGULATORY AGENCIES; (DORA)
PATTY SALAZAR, in her individual and
official capacities; (CDHS) COLORADO
DEPT OF HUMAN SERVICES; (CDHS)
MICHELLE BARNES, in her individual
and official capacities; (CCRD)
COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION;
(CCRD) AUBREY C. SULLIVAN, in her
individual and official capacities; (CCRD)
AUBREY ELENIS, in her individual and
official capacities; (BHA) COLORADO
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH
ADMINISTRATION; (BHA)
STEPHANIE BEASLEY, in her individual
and official capacities,

No. 26-1246
(D.C. No. 1:26-CV-00417-LTB-RTG)
(D. Colo.)

Defendants - Appellees.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before **PHILLIPS, KELLY**, and **FEDERICO**, Circuit Judges.**

Plaintiff-Appellant Adam Strege, appearing pro se, appeals from the district court's Rule 41(b) dismissal of his fourth amended complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with Rule 8's requirement that a pleading stating a claim for relief must contain "a short and plain statement" of the basis of jurisdiction and the claim and a demand for the relief sought. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); R. 229–34. He also appeals from the denials of his motions to recuse the district judge and assigned magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §§ 144, 455(a); R. 133–37 (motion to recuse district judge), 144–48 (motion to recuse magistrate judge), 229–34 (decision).

We review the Rule 41(b) dismissal for failure to comply with Rule 8(a) for an abuse of discretion. Nasious v. Two Unknown B.I.C.E. Agents, 492 F.3d 1158, 1161 (10th Cir. 2007). Likewise, the rulings on the recusal motions are reviewed for an abuse of discretion. Burke v. Regalado, 935 F.3d 960, 1052–53 (10th Cir. 2019)

* This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

** After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.

(§ 455(a)); Cauthon v. Rogers, 116 F.3d 1334, 1336 (10th Cir. 1997) (§ 144).

Because Mr. Strege proceeds pro se, we construe his arguments liberally but will not act as an advocate. United States v. Pinson, 584 F.3d 972, 975 (10th Cir. 2009).

Mr. Strege's brief consists of over 90 pages of dense phrases, largely unanchored to specific claims, and nowhere does he advance a rational and colorable argument based on the law or facts that engages with the district court's reasoning. Having reviewed the record, we find no abuse of discretion, let alone any basis for overturning the district court's decisions.

Also before the court are two pending motions. The first is Mr. Strege's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. Because he has not advanced any rational argument on the law or facts, we will deny that motion. See DeBardeleben v. Quinlan, 937 F.2d 502, 505 (10th Cir. 1991) (requiring a reasoned, non-frivolous argument). The second motion, docketed as a motion to take judicial notice, is over 130 pages long and, as with his brief, is largely incoherent and incomprehensible. Because the court is unable to discern the basis for the motion, we will deny it.

AFFIRMED. All pending motions are denied.

Entered for the Court

Paul J. Kelly, Jr.
Circuit Judge